

# Brinklow Neighbourhood Plan Survey Results



**Mike King**

**Director**

**People and Places Insight Limited**

**[Mike.king@people-places.co.uk](mailto:Mike.king@people-places.co.uk)**

**07788286337**



## What we do

- ❖ **Benchmarking**
- ❖ **Car Parking**
- ❖ **First Time Visitor Reviews**
- ❖ **Signage Reviews**
- ❖ **Neighbourhood Planning**

# Neighbourhood Plans I have worked on:





## What we do

- ❖ **Impartial, detached Research Professional**
- ❖ **Advice and Guidance on Survey Design**
- ❖ **Advice on Guidance on best practice for dissemination**
- ❖ **Online Software**
- ❖ **Data Processing Unit**
- ❖ **Analysis**
- ❖ **Report Writing**

## Background



- ❖ **229 valid responses were submitted by Postal and Online Surveys**
- ❖ **Quantitative and Qualitative analysis**
- ❖ **Each of the questions has been analysed individually**
- ❖ **Examples of analysis**

## How long have you lived in the Parish?



	<b>%</b>
<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 to 5 years</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>6 to 10 years</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>11 to 25 years</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>More than 25 years</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Prefer not to say</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>N=</b>	<b>223</b>

**What are your views on the size of any further housing developments in the Parish over the next 15-20 years? (Please tick one option per row)**



	<b>Strongly agree</b> %	<b>Agree</b> %	<b>No opinion</b> %	<b>Disagree</b> %	<b>Strongly disagree</b> %	<b>N=</b>
Garden infill development	16	33	20	15	16	176
Infill, small plots of one or two houses	30	49	7	8	7	181
Small developments (10-15 house plots)	21	37	6	21	16	175
Medium developments (16-50 houses)	7	17	6	29	41	174
Large developments (more than 50 houses)	6	5	1	19	69	168
Conversion or change of use of existing buildings or previously developed (brownfield) sites	23	47	15	9	6	175

# Today's Presentation

**& PEOPLE  
PLACES**





# Today's Presentation

**& PEOPLE  
PLACES**





# Today's Presentation

**& PEOPLE  
PLACES**



## Starter for 10



- ❖ What do you like about living in Brinklow?
- ❖ Where is there room for improvement?

## Environment

### ❖ Importance and Protection of Environment

❖ In terms of aspects of the Parish which respondents felt were Very Important/ Important to them:

❖ ‘General upkeep of the village’ (98%)

❖ ‘Protected open space and trees’ (97%)

❖ ‘Easy access to the countryside’ (94%)

❖ ‘Rural atmosphere’ (94%)

❖ ‘Open countryside reaching close to the centre’ (93%)

❖ ‘Quiet environment’ (91%)

## Environment

### ❖ Importance and Protection of Environment

- ❖ Respondents either 'Strongly Agreed or Agreed' that in terms of development protection was needed for:
- ❖ 'Open green spaces and countryside' (97%)
- ❖ 'Brinklow Parish's character e.g. varied historic buildings, rural feel' (97%)
- ❖ 'Environment (trees, wildlife etc) (96%)

## Environment

### ❖ Importance of Conversation and Heritage

- ❖ Respondents either ‘Strongly Agreeing’ or ‘Agreeing’ to the following statements;
- ❖ ‘The Parish should actively protect unique local historic features, footpaths and countryside’ (99%)
- ❖ ‘Conservation Area status is important to preserve both the building and the mature trees for current and future generations’ (98%)
- ❖ ‘Historic buildings in the Parish need to be maintained (where appropriate) renovated sympathetically’ (98%)
- ❖ ‘The character and architecture of the older buildings in the village is an important feature of the Parish’ (97%).

## Environment

- ❖ **Improvement and Promotion of Green Spaces**
- ❖ In terms of ‘Green Spaces’ and ‘Environment’ that respondents would like to see improved respondents ‘Strongly Agreed’ or ‘Agreed’ with the following;
  - ❖ ‘Wildlife sites e.g. Old Canal, the Tump’ (93%)
  - ❖ ‘Existing green spaces within the village’ (92%)
  - ❖ ‘Trees and hedgerows to provide wildlife habitats and enhance the rural nature of the Parish’ (90%)

## Environment

- ❖ **Improvement and Promotion of Green Spaces**
- ❖ In regard to ‘Green Space and Environment’ schemes that respondents would like to see promoted:
  - ❖ 92% either ‘Strongly Agreed’ (52%) or ‘Agreed’ (40%) with ‘Planting more trees’
  - ❖ 91% ‘Wildlife meadows’. (46% Strongly Agreed/ 45% Agreed)



**( Please tell us which of the following actions concerning economic development in the Parish you agree/ disagree with? Please tick one option per row)**

	Strongly agree %	Agree %	No opinion %	Disagree %	Strongly disagree %	N=
Mixed property types and size	37	39	10	8	6	180
Green spaces	59	33	6	2	1	177
Green areas for wildlife	62	29	7	2	1	179
Tree planting	65	27	6	2	1	177
Shrubs and hedges	63	28	7	2	1	179
Dedicated cycle paths	31	30	29	7	3	173
Pavements for pedestrians	62	34	2	1	1	180
Developments are connected by pathways to enable walking through, rather than just cul-de-sacs	35	35	21	6	3	178
Other	35	6	59	0	0	17

# Housing



## ❖ Village Identity

- ❖ 95% of respondents rated 'Village identity/ feeling part of a community' as an important aspect of the Parish
- ❖ 89% 'Strongly Agreed' (69%) or 'Agreed' (20%) with the need to 'Control development to maintain Brinklow Parish's fundamental size and shape'.

# Community Facilities



	Daily %	More than once a week %	Weekly %	Monthly %	Less than once a month %	Never %	N=
Shop	13	41	23	16	5	2	204
Churches	0	4	7	10	48	31	198
Post Office	2	34	31	22	9	1	201
Hairdressers	0	2	3	33	18	44	198
Surgery	1	3	7	39	45	5	202
Pharmacy	0	4	9	43	38	5	203
Food outlets	1	11	21	32	27	8	201
Community Hall	0	0	9	16	52	22	201
Scout HQ	0	3	3	3	15	77	199
Playing field and facilities	18	12	18	12	19	21	200
Pubs	2	17	16	27	25	14	203
Allotments	2	3	0	1	3	90	203
Primary schools	16	1	0	0	1	82	201
Nursery	5	2	1	0	1	92	199
Other	25	8	25	0	0	42	12

# Community Facilities



	Very important %	Important %	No opinion %	Not important %	Not at all important %	N=
Shop	90	9	1	0	0	202
Churches	58	23	13	4	4	199
Post Office	91	7	1	0	1	201
Hairdressers	49	31	16	2	3	200
Surgery	93	6	1	1	0	201
Pharmacy	92	6	2	1	0	201
Food outlets	66	24	5	2	2	202
Community Hall	60	30	7	1	1	201
Scout HQ	45	30	20	1	4	200
Playing field and facilities	77	18	3	1	1	202
Pubs	64	26	5	3	1	201
Allotments	33	37	23	3	4	200
Primary schools	62	27	8	1	1	201
Nurseries	55	33	9	1	1	201
Other	70	0	30	0	0	10

## Community Facilities



- ❖ In terms of aspirations for community facilities:
- ❖ 69% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (27%) or 'Agreed' (42%) with 'Churches improved and used more creatively, e.g. concerts, plays'
- ❖ 67% 'More facilities for older children' ('Strongly Agree' 20% / 'Agree' 47%)
- ❖ 58% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (24%) or 'Agreed' (34%) with the Parish aspiring to provide a '24-hour cash point'.

## Business Development



- ❖ In terms of the type of Business Development which should be encouraged in the Parish:
- ❖ 81% either 'Strongly Agreed' (33%) or 'Agreed' (48%) with 'Home working'
- ❖ 73% 'Pubs/cafes/ restaurants'
- ❖ 69% 'Agricultural/ Food Production'
- ❖ 69% 'Service trades e.g. plumbers, electricians'
- ❖ 64% 'Nursery'

## Housing

- ❖ Over half of respondents (54%) agreed with RBC's decision to reject all potential development sites except the 100 new homes they have proposed.

## Housing



- ❖ 58% of those responding to the online survey question ‘Do you think the Parish needs more housing’ stated ‘No’. 46% of paper-based survey respondents stated that ‘No more housing required’.
- ❖ When asked in the paper-based survey ‘How many more houses do you think the Parish needs in the next 15-20 years’ 30% stated ‘No more housing’.



## Housing

### ❖ Type of Future Development

- ❖ The key message was that any future development should be 'For young people who would like to live in Brinklow Parish in the future'

## What are your views on the size of any further housing developments in the Parish over the next 15-20 years?



	Strongly agree %	Agree %	No opinion %	Disagree %	Strongly disagree %
Garden infill development	16	33	20	15	16
Infill, small plots of one or two houses	30	49	7	8	7
Small developments (10-15 house plots)	21	37	6	21	16
Medium developments (16-50 houses)	7	17	6	29	41
Large developments (more than 50 houses)	6	5	1	19	69
Conversion or change of use of existing buildings or previously developed (brownfield) sites	23	47	15	9	6

## Are any more of the following housing types required?



	Yes %	No %
Flats/ apartments	26	74
Bungalows	59	41
Detached houses	39	61
Semi-detached houses	60	39
Terraced housing	43	57
Large/ luxury houses	14	86
Agricultural conversions	55	45
Affordable starter homes for 100% purchase	64	36
Affordable homes for part rent/ part buy	48	52
Social housing through housing association	28	72
Sheltered housing for the elderly (a group with a warden)	66	34
Short/ medium term rental properties: Buy to let and investment properties	14	86

## Housing

### ❖ Design of Future Development

- ❖ 96% of respondents either ‘Strongly Agreed’ (67%) or ‘Agreed’ (29%) that if new properties were to be built they would support ‘Dwellings of appropriate size and character for the neighbouring area’.

## Housing

### ❖ Design of Future Development

- ❖ 96% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (62%) or 'Agreed' (34%) with 'Pavements for pedestrians' as a design feature of any new housing development in the Parish.

## Housing



### ❖ Design of Future Development

- ❖ 94% of respondents 'Strongly Agreed' (61%) or 'Agreed' (33%) with 'Off road parking' as a design feature they would support
- ❖ 87% 'Strongly Agreed' (48%) or 'Agreed' (39%) with 'Low Energy considerations'.

## Housing



### ❖ Design of Future Development

❖ In terms of environmental features on new housing developments in the Parish, respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' or 'Agreed' with:

- ❖ 'Tree planting' (92%)
- ❖ 'Green spaces' (92%)
- ❖ 'Green areas for wildlife' (91%)
- ❖ 'Shrubs and hedges' (91%).

## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Traffic Issues Impacting Development

- ❖ In regard to specific actions concerning development, 93% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (74%) or 'Agreed' (19%) with the assertion to 'Address traffic issues, e.g. speeding vehicles).



## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Traffic Issues Impacting Development

❖ Transport issues were classed as a current barrier to Business Development within the Parish with 79% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreeing' (56%) or 'Agreeing' (23%) with 'Parking' and 74% 'Traffic flow (congestion)' ('Strongly Agree' (49%)/ 'Agree' (25%).

## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Traffic Issues Impacting Development

- ❖ From those respondents who do not want to see more 'Tourists and Visitors being attracted to the Parish', 96% either 'Strongly Agreed' (78%) or 'Agreed' (18%) that this was due to 'Car parking issues'
- ❖ 95% either 'Strongly Agreed' (76%) or 'Agreed' (19%) that this was due to 'Traffic issues'.

# Traffic and Transport

**& PEOPLE  
PLACES**



## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Speeding Vehicles

- ❖ 93% of respondents either ‘Strongly Agreed’ (73%) or ‘Agreed’ (20%) that ‘Speeding vehicles’ were problematic in the Parish.
- ❖ 38% of these indicated that the issue was prevalent on ‘Broad Street’ whilst 22% stated ‘Lutterworth Road’.
- ❖ In terms of time of day, ‘Rush Hour/ Peak times’ was the most common response.

## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Volume of Traffic

- ❖ 87% of respondents either ‘Strongly Agreed’ (62%) or ‘Agreed’ (25%) that the ‘Volume of traffic was too high’ in the Parish.
- ❖ 54% of those who provided a rating of either ‘Strongly agree’ or ‘Agree’, indicated that there was a problem with the volume of traffic being too high on ‘Coventry Road’ with 43% stating ‘Broad Street’.
- ❖ When questioned on the time of day the traffic volume was problematic 26% referred to ‘Rush Hour’, 21% ‘Morning’ and 12% ‘Peak’.

## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ HGV

- ❖ 86% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (68%) or 'Agreed' (18%) that 'HGV traffic' is a problem in the Parish with 45% of comments referring to 'Coventry Road' and 37% 'Broad Street'.
- ❖ When asked the time that HGV traffic was a problem a number of comments cited 'All the time'.



# Traffic and Transport

**& PEOPLE  
PLACES**



## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Increased Car Parking

- ❖ 65% of respondents felt that more public car parking space should be made available.
- ❖ 80% either 'Strongly Agreed' (37%) or 'Agreed' (43%) with 'Near the shops and food outlets on Broad Street'
- ❖ 78% 'Near the playing fields' (32% 'Strongly Agree' / 46% 'Agree')



## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Car Parking Issues

- ❖ 65% of respondents either 'Strongly Agreed' (46%) or 'Agreed' (19%) that 'Car parking on the pavements' was a problem in the Parish
- ❖ When asked the location of this issue 30% reported 'Coventry Road' and 25% 'Broad Street.'
- ❖ 10% indicated that the problem of cars parking on the pavements was 'Evenings' and 9% 'Anytime.'

## Traffic and Transport



### ❖ Car Parking Issues

- 77% of respondents ‘Strongly Agreed’ (55%) or ‘Agreed’ (22%) that ‘Parking close to junctions’ was problematic in the Parish.
- 36% commented that this problem was prevalent on ‘Coventry Road’, 35% ‘Heath Lane’ and 34% ‘Broad Street’.
- ‘School times’ was cited when questioned on the ‘Parking close to junctions’ being an issue

# Traffic and Transport



## ❖ Traffic Management Solutions

- ❖ 82% of respondents either 'Strongly agreed' (55%) or 'Agreed' (27%) that '20 mph zones near the school' are needed
- ❖ 72% that '20 mph zones near the shops' are needed. ('Strongly Agree' 46% or 'Agree' 26%).
- ❖ 70% or 'Strongly agreed' (49%) or 'Agreed' (21%) that '20 mph zones along Ell Lane' are needed.

# Questions and Answers

